

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

MICHAEL EDWARD GOODALE,

Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF NEVADA PUBLIC SAFETY
 BOARD, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-00380-RFB-BNW

ORDER

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 16) of the Honorable Judge Brenda Weksler, United States Magistrate Judge, entered on May 2, 2025. A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by May 16, 2025. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendation.

///

///

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 16) is

1 **ACCEPTED** and **ADOPTED** in full.

2 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this action is **DISMISSED**.

3 The Clerk of Court is instructed to close this matter accordingly.

4
5
6 **DATED:** July 2, 2025.

7
8 

9 **RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**
10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28